

Identifying Bottlenecks in On-Farm Labor Efficiency and Practical Approach's for Improvement

Jason Karszes PRO-DAIRY



The Impact of Labor

- The 2nd largest expense on farms
- Increasing at a faster rate
- Impact of labor effectiveness on
 - Output
 - Other input costs
- · Impact on earnings



Recent Labor Trends – DFBS* Same 126 Farms, New York Sate, 2012 – 2017 2012 2013 2014 2015

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Cows per Worker Equivalent	44.0	44.7	44.3	44.7	46.2	47.1
Milk Sold per Worker Equivalent	1,125,158	1,153,603	1,137,565	1,150,132	1,213,885	1,226,154
Labor Cost per Hired Worker Equivalent	\$36,995	\$38,101	\$38,795	\$40,070	\$40,685	\$42,550
Hired Labor Cost per Cwt.	\$2.78	\$2.79	\$2.92	\$2.99	\$2.88	\$2.99
Hired Labor Costs as % of Total Farm Operating Costs	14.8%	14.3%	14.4%	15.7%	16.7%	16.9%

- · Dairy Farms Business Summary and Analysis Project, Cornell University
- Prepared by: Jason Karszes, Senior Extension Associate, PRO-DAIRY



Today's Topics

- Strategies to impact labor efficiency
- Labor allocation where are the labor hours going?
- Labor efficiency by area where may the potential be to change labor efficiency
- Approaches to change labor efficiency



Strategies to Impact Labor Efficiency

- First point maintain or improve quality and quantity of output
 - Don't want to sacrifice much, if any, output or quality to improve labor efficiency
- Tools to change labor efficiency
 - Capital investment
 - Lean systems
 - · Human resource management
 - Custom services
 - · Joint venture/collaboration
- What is the potential for each to impact hours used?
- What is potential impact on both revenue and costs if utilize a certain approach?



Labor Allocation

- First step where are all the labor hours going on the farm?
- How many different areas and tasks are being performed?
- Can a baseline be set?
 - By area?
 - By activity within that area?
- How do you manage something if you are not measuring it?



Labor Allocation

- Study during summer of 2016
- Labor hours allocated to:
 - 8 different management areas
 - 54 different activities
- Efficiency measures calculated for the different management areas
- 36 Farms participated



Labor Allocation Report: Percent and Ranges

Average, 36 Farms, Summer 2016

Labor Allocation by Prima	ary Category		80 Percent Range		
	Worker	Percent of	Average	10% Decile	90% Decile
	Equivalents	Total	A	nimals per Worker	
Dairy Herd Total	19.0	74.5%	74	55	98
Mature Herd	16.0	62.8%	90	65	122
Pre-Weaned Heifers	1.6	6.4%	15	3	29
Post-Weaned Heifers	1.4	5.3%	96	36	174
	Acres per Worker				
Total Crop Hours	1.9	7.1%	2,436	800	3,994
Hay/Haylage	0.8	2.9%	2,418	753	4,055
Corn Silage/Grain	1.0	3.7%	1,730	787	3,218
			1,00	0 Gallons per Worl	ker
Manure Handling	1.0	3.6%	35,154	7,013	50,720
	,	•		Cows per Worker	
Shop & Maintenance	1.9	6.8%	1,261	286	2,997
Management & Office	1.8	7.4%	1,657	338	13,353
Total	25.5	100.0%	55.9	40	74

*Howlett & Karszes, Activity Analysis Project, PRO-DAIRY, Department of Animals Science, Cornell University, Un-Published

Identifying Your Bottlenecks

- Start with one area
 - The biggest labor area milking center?
 - The area that you think takes the most labor for what is actually done
- Measure it!
 - · How many hours of labor are being utilized?
 - May take days or weeks to come up with a good number
 - What is the total hours for the time frame?
- Calculate benchmark
 - Put on to a per unit basis
 - Can measure again in same manger in the future
 - Can potentially compare to industry benchmarks



Identifying Your Bottlenecks

- What quality or value created measures are associated with the activity?
 - · Growth rates?
 - Milk quality?
 - Pregnancy rate?
 - · Repair of a repair?
 - Costs saved?
- Don't want to sacrifice much, if any, quality or value created to improve labor efficiency

Identifying Your Bottlenecks

- · Move on to the next area
 - The next biggest
 - The next that might have the most opportunity
 - The area where there might be a manager to assist
- Build an allocation of where labor is going
 - Process might help identify areas for potential improvements





Mature Herd				
Mature Herd, Cows per Hour*	Average	Bottom 10%	Top10%	Range
Milking	17.4	11.8	23.0	11.1
Cleaning	4.8	0.9	9.4	8.5
Bedding	0.8	0.4	1.5	1.2
Bedding Prep	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.3
Paid Breaks/Lunch	0.9	0.0	2.9	2.9
Herd Management	4.9	1.9	8.4	6.6
Breeding/Repro	1.0	0.4	2.6	2.2
Feeding	2.9	1.7	4.5	2.8
Hoof Care	0.5	0.1	1.3	1.2
Other	0.5	0.0	2.1	2.1
Total, Cows per Labor Hour	33.7	23.6	40.6	17.0
*Total Annual Hours/Average Milking & Dry Cov	VS			

Labor Allocation Study, 28 Farms, Summer 2016, Un-Published, Howlett & Karszes, PRO-DAIRY, Department of Animals Science, Cornell University

Pre-	Weaned	Heifers
110	V V CullCu	11011013

Pre-Weaned, Heifers per Hour	Average	Bottom 10%	Top10%	Range
Feeding	28.8	4.9	64.5	59.6
Bedding	176.5	44.1	420.0	375.9
Cleaning	200.5	25.4	703.6	678.2
Herd Management	157.1	26.8	406.4	379.5
Other	77.9	0.0	246.6	246.6
Total, Pre-Weaned Heifers/Hour	15.2	3.6	29.2	25.7
*Daily Weighted Hours/Number of Heifers				



Labor Allocation Study, 28 Farms, Summer 2016, Un-Published, Howlett & Karszes, PRO-DAIRY, Department of Animals Science, Cornell University

Post-Weaned Heifers

Post-Weaned, Heifers per Hour	Average	Bottom 10%	Top10%	Range
Feeding	537	62	866	804
Bedding	2,295	146	8,521	8,375
Cleaning	1,843	113	3,514	3,401
Herd Management	997	122	3,366	3,244
Other	1,042	0	3,535	3,535
Total, Post-Weaned Heifers/Hour	148	35	398	363
*Daily Weighted Hours/Number of Heifers				

4 PODAIRV

Labor Allocation Study, 28 Farms, Summer 2016, Un-Published, Howlett & Karszes, PRO-DAIRY, Department of Animals Science, Cornell University

Hay Acres

Hay Crop Acres per Hour*	Average	Bottom 10%	Top10%	Range
Preparing	11.0	1.8	25.3	23.5
Harvest	1.2	0.3	3.3	3.1
Covering Bunks	25.3	7.2	70.5	63.3
Stacking/Storing Hay	36.3	0.0	128.2	128.2
Custom: Prep Work	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Custom: Harvest	0.2	0.0	1.0	1.0
Total Hay Acres per Hour	1.0	0.3	3.0	2.7
*Total Hay Acres/Total Annual Hours				



Labor Allocation Study, 28 Farms, Summer 2016, Un-Published, Howlett & Karszes, PRO-DAIRY, Department of Animals Science, Cornell University

Corn Acres

Corn Crop Acres per Hour*	Average	Bottom 10%	Top10%	Range
Spring Season	2.1	0.6	4.6	3.9
Fall Season	1.0	0.5	1.7	1.2
Covering Bunks	28.1	6.7	76.8	70.1
Custom Work: Spring	16.0	0.0	10.5	10.5
Custom Work: Fall	9.0	0.0	12.8	12.8
Total Corn Acres per Hour	0.6	0.3	0.9	0.6
*Total Corn Acres/Total Annual Hours				

PRODAIRY

Labor Allocation Study, 28 Farms, Summer 2016, Un-Published, Howlett & Karszes, PRO-DAIRY, Department of Animals Science, Cornell University

Manure Handling

Average	Bottom 10%	Top10%	Range
16,287	4,804	34,808	30,004
29,993	0	79,614	79,614
3,846	0	19,915	19,915
76,885	0	276,277	276,277
43,961	0	151,095	151,095
8,104	2,588	16,267	13,679
	16,287 29,993 3,846 76,885 43,961	16,287 4,804 29,993 0 3,846 0 76,885 0 43,961 0	16,287 4,804 34,808 29,993 0 79,614 3,846 0 19,915 76,885 0 276,277 43,961 0 151,095

*Total Manure Gallons Spread/Total Annual Hours



Labor Allocation Study, 28 Farms, Summer 2016, Un-Published, Howlett & Karszes, PRO-DAIRY, Department of Animals Science, Cornell University

Shop – By Cow

Cows per Shop Labor Hour*	Average	Bottom 10%	Top10%	Range
Dairy Equipment	6.6	0.6	22.5	21.9
Crop Equipment	11.4	0.0	4.2	4.2
Manure Equipment	8.6	0.4	21.1	20.7
Facility Maintenance	2.8	0.5	6.9	6.4
Pumping/Injecting	5.1	0.3	14.3	14.0
Total Repair/Main	0.5	0.1	1.3	1.2
Parts run	11.2	1.4	29.4	28.0
Record Keeping	15.9	0.0	57.3	57.3
Other	5.1	0.0	23.7	23.7
Total Cows per Shop Labor Hour	0.4	0.1	1.1	1.0
*Average Milking and Dry Cows/Total Shop Annu	al Hours			



Labor Allocation Study, 28 Farms, Summer 2016, Un-Published, Howlett & Karszes, PRO-DAIRY, Department of Animals Science, Cornell University

Shop – Per Acre

Acres per Shop Labor Hour*	Average	Bottom 10%	Top10%	Range
Dairy Equipment	12.9	0.9	49.5	48.6
Crop Equipment	9.3	0.0	7.8	7.8
Manure Equipment	15.6	0.0	45.2	45.2
Facility Maintenance	5.7	0.7	15.1	14.4
Pumping/Injecting	8.2	0.0	30.2	30.2
Total Repair/Main	1.0	0.2	2.8	2.6
Parts run	20.0	0.6	57.7	57.1
Record Keeping	30.5	0.0	133.0	133.0
Other	9.8	0.0	57.6	57.6
Total Acres per Shop Labor Hour	0.8	0.2	2.0	1.8
*Total Crop Acres/Total Shop Annual Hours				



Labor Allocation Study, 28 Farms, Summer 2016, Un-Published, Howlett & Karszes, PRO-DAIRY, Department of Animals Science, Cornell University

Potential Solutions to Bottlenecks

- Once you identified a bottleneck now what?
- A series of management steps to move thru
 - Observe
 - Ask
 - · Human resource management
 - Lean System tools
 - Invest
 - Custom services
 - · Joint venture/collaboration



Improving Labor Efficiency

- Two Ways
 - · Increase output per labor hour
 - · Keeping the same number of hours
 - · Spreading hours over more output
 - · Decrease number of labor hours
 - · Use less labor hours to perform tasks
 - · Do less tasks
 - · Dividing same output by less hours.
- If maintaining output, focus would be on decreasing labor hours
- If increasing output, focus would be on increasing output per labor hour



Improving Labor Efficiency – Changing Hours

- Run faster
 - Take more steps, process more, drive faster within the same timeframe
 - · How long can this be done?
 - · Point of diminishing returns
- Waste less
 - Run same speed as before
 - Waste less steps
 - How much improvement can there be?
- Combination of both



First Step: Observe

- What is taking place each day
- Watch steps, processes, organization, etc.
- Use a camera
- Can more steps be taken(faster) and be sustainable?
- How many wasted steps(minutes) are there?
- Identify potential areas to address in the specific activity or management area



Second Step: Ask

- If have multiple people involved in the area, ask for their input
- What thoughts may they have on how things could be improved
- Involving them in the process
- If making changes and they are involved in the process, is there increased acceptance, agreement, excitement?
- · Human resource management



Third Step: Human Resource Management Ffforts

- Hiring
- Scheduling
- Training
- Evaluations
- Accountability
- Culture
- Resources: Materials in proceedings from other sessions focusing on Human Resource Management



Fourth Step: Lean System Tools

- Can efficiency and effectiveness be improved by working on the following:
 - Standard operating procedures
 - Organization
 - Clean
 - · Tracking and accountability
 - Continuous improvement
- Are there things being done that just don't need to be done?
- Can the speed be increased?
- Can less effort be wasted?
- Reference: Lean Systems Presentation in conference materials



Fifth Step: Invest

- Investing in machinery, equipment, and facilities can improve labor efficiency thru two approaches.
 - · Allow the labor get more done with same labor hour
 - · Replace labor hours entirely
- · Improving labor output
 - Tends to be less investment
 - Allows current staff to do more
- Replacing labor hours
 - Tends to be higher investment
 - · Changes labor needs and requirements



Fifth Step: Invest

- Financial analysis is critical
- Is there enough change in labor costs, output, and quality to offset the increased costs associated with the capital investment?
- "DIRTI" five
- While there maybe equipment, machinery, or facilities that can reduce labor significantly, it may still be cheaper to utilize hired labor



• Can it actually be improved economically?

• Should you do the activity at all?

Sixth Step: Custom Services

- Along with labor savings, is there other potential areas that are improved by utilizing custom services?
 - Increased quality?
 - Increased output?
 - Decreasing other input costs?
 - Capital investment?
- Financial analysis critical in determining benefit of using custom services



Seventh Step: Joint Venture/Collaboration

- Can labor be utilized more effectively?
- Can capital investment be utilized more effectively?
- Can we work with someone else in some manner to achieve higher efficiency in:
 - Labor
 - Costs
 - Capital
- Can quality and volume of output be improved?
- Financial analysis again important in analyzing



Summary

- · No golden pill
- Can apply management efforts to improve
- A combination of approaches to make improvements
- Involving everyone associated with area or activity critical to making long-term improvements
- Generally can't sacrifice much, if any, change in quality or output
- If don't measure it, will be more difficult to improve
- · Never finished



Questions?

Jason Karszes

jk57@cornell.edu

607-255-3809

