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ABSTRACT

We describe Delphi, a package for simulating open queuing networks. Multiple product types with priorities, product scrap and rework, deterministic and probabilistic routing are supported. Queue control routines are separated from the main code, to facilitate their replacement by user routines.

Delphi was developed using the Sigma (Schruben 1991) simulation prototyping system, then converted into portable C. Delphi should compile and run on virtually any platform supporting a good subset of the standard C libraries. The source code and supporting documentation are available via anonymous ftp from "gauss.orie.cornell.edu". This document is current for Delphi Version 5, Level 7.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Delphi was developed to test initialization bias truncation methods on large, realistic queuing networks. The inspiration for some of the features supported in Delphi is the semiconductor manufacturing simulation described in Hood et al. (1989), although in general Delphi models less process detail.

2 DOCUMENTATION PROCEDURE

In the belief that written documentation for an evolving program becomes obsolete as soon as it is printed, this document is meant to teach the basics about Delphi’s operation, introduce the main command line options, and show how to construct and simulate many small building block models (single server queues, small networks, etc). This document is not meant to spell out the nuts and bolts of each procedure within the code. That documentation is left within the code itself.

3 OBTAINING AND COMPILING DELPHI

Delphi is available via anonymous ftp from 'gauss.orie.cornell.edu’. Anonymous ftp is a program available on most Unix platforms that allows access to a limited amount of data on another user’s machine, without the need for guest passwords or special login accounts. Delphi comes packaged in a compressed tar file (that means you must uncompress and untar it after you ftp it.) tar is a command that either puts lots of little files into one big file, or the reverse. The following example shows how one can obtain and compile Delphi on a standard unix machine. Commands that you should type are displayed in bold face.

    gauss% mkdir delphi
    gauss% cd delphi
    gauss% ftp gauss.orie.cornell.edu
    Connected to gauss.orie.cornell.edu.
    220 pivot FTP server (SunOS 4.1) ready.
    Name (gauss.orie.cornell.edu:chance): anonymous
    331 Guest login ok, send ident as password.
    Password: chance@orie.cornell.edu
    230 Guest login ok, access restrictions apply.
    ftp> cd pub/delphi
    250 CWD command successful.
ftp> binary
200 Type set to I.
ftp> get delphi.tar.Z
200 PORT command successful.
150 Binary data connection for delphi.tar.Z
226 Binary Transfer complete.
local: delphi.tar.Z remote: delphi.tar.Z
44516 bytes received in 0.3 seconds
ftp> quit
221 Goodbye.
 gauss% uncompress -f delphi.tar.Z
 gauss% tar -xvf delphi.tar
 (Tar messages for each file that is extracted.)
gauss% make delphi
 (Compiler messages for each file that is compiled.)
 gauss% delphi
 (Current program options displayed).
 gauss%

If Delphi displays a list of options when invoked, it has successfully compiled. Otherwise, try to obtain help from a local guru, and check that you specified binary before transferring the file with ftp.

4 PRINTING DELPHI DOCUMENTATION

This document is included with the Delphi distribution in the file delphi.tex. This file is in \LaTeX{} format, so if you have the \LaTeX{} processor installed on your system, you can process and print this document. See Lamport (1986) for details of the \LaTeX{} system.

If you do not have the package psfig installed on your system, you will not be able to print the event graph for Delphi. Figure 1 shows a typical sequence of commands used to process and print this document on a postscript printer if psfig is not installed. Figure 2 shows a typical sequence of commands on a system with psfig installed.

5 VERSION AND LEVEL NUMBERS

If Delphi is executed with no command line options, it displays a version and level number in addition to information about input file formats and available options. The version number
gizmo% nopsfig
psfig disabled
gizmo% texprep
Working...
Delphi tex file preparation complete
gizmo% latex delphi.tex
(Messages from Tex)
gizmo% latex delphi.tex
(Rerun to get references correct.)
gizmo% dvips delphi.dvi > delphi.ps
gizmo% lpr delphi.ps

Figure 1: Typical commands for processing documentation on a system without psfig and a postscript printer.

gizmo% psfig
psfig enabled
gizmo% texprep
Working...
Delphi tex file preparation complete
gizmo% latex delphi.tex
(Messages from Tex)
gizmo% latex delphi.tex
(Rerun to get references correct.)
gizmo% dvips delphi.dvi > delphi.ps
gizmo% lpr delphi.ps

Figure 2: Typical commands for processing documentation on a system with psfig and a postscript printer.
changes only when modifications are made so that Delphi is no longer reverse-compatible with the input file format from earlier versions. The level number is incremented whenever a major modification is completed. Level numbers restart at 0 whenever the version number is incremented. Thus, input files from earlier versions will not work and must be converted in some way, but those from the same version but an earlier level are still supported.

6 SIMULATING AN \( M/M/1 \) QUEUE

We begin with the canonical queuing theory example, the \( M/M/1 \) queue. Briefly, a single server (Lucy) dispenses service (psychiatric help) to customers (Charlie Brown, Linus, etc.) arriving at randomly spaced intervals. Interarrival times are independent, identically distributed (i.i.d.) exponential random variables with mean \( 1/\lambda \). Service times are i.i.d. exponential random variables with mean \( 1/\mu \). The server never becomes tired, requires sick leave, down time, coffee breaks, or football practice. We denote the traffic intensity, roughly a measure of how busy the server will be on a scale from 0 to 1, by \( \rho = \lambda/\mu \). The system is said to be stable (number of customers waiting for help doesn’t tend to infinity), if \( \rho < 1 \).

We will often interchange the terms job and customer.

For Delphi to simulate this system, we need to tell it the following two types of information: system parameters (number of queues, interarrival distribution, etc) and routing parameters (where each job goes, service time distributions, etc). Suppose we name our simulation mm1. Delphi will look in the file mm1.del for system information, and in the file mm1.r1 for routing information. For convenience, these files are included in the Delphi distribution package. We briefly outline what each contains.

The configuration file mm1.del is shown in Figure 3. The routing file mm1.r1 is shown in Figure 4.

For our convenience, think of all time units as hours. Suppose the mean time between arrivals to Lucy’s stand is one hour, and the average customer receives about 39 minutes (0.65 + 1 hour) of helpful advice. Here’s what happens when we execute Delphi for 100 customers on our \( M/M/1 \) example.

gauss% delphi 1 mm1 -endjob 100

delphi v5.7: Starting simulation 'mm1'.
Successfully read in 1 routing entries, job type 1.
Number of tool groups/queues = 1
Number of replications = 1
Job type 1, priority 1, number of releases 1.
Figure 3: Configuration file for $M/M/1$ queue.

Figure 4: Routing file for $M/M/1$ queue.
Normal completion, replication 1 of 1, time = 104.707.
delphi v5.7: Simulation 'mm1' exiting normally.

Output statistics are contained in the file mm1.run. Use your favorite editor/browser
to view this file. Most statistics are self-explanatory.

To check that simulation does eventually match analytic results, try running the simu-
lation for 10,000 jobs. In our case, \( \lambda = 1, \mu = 1/0.65, \) and \( \rho = 0.65. \) The limiting average
time in system is \( 1/(\mu - \lambda) \) which in our case works out to be approximately 1.857. As you
run for longer and longer periods, the average time in system should converge to 1.857. If
the rate of convergence seems frighteningly slow, this should serve as a lesson in the perils
of simulation. Large amounts of computer time may be necessary to reach accurate conclusions
about simulated systems, even such simple ones as Lucy’s psychiatric service center.

7 EVENT STRUCTURE OF DELPHI

Delphi was originally modeled using the Sigma simulation prototyping system, and thus has
an underlying event graph structure. See the Sigma (Schruben 1991) documentation for a
more complete explanation of event graphs. The event graph for Delphi is shown in Figure 5.

Nodes in the graph represent events, such as job releases. Arcs are shown where the
execution of an event may schedule one or more future events. Conditions on the scheduling
of such events are numbered and described. When an event schedules a future event, there
may be a time delay. These time delays are lettered and described.

8 JOB TYPE, QUEUE, AND STEP NAMES

In the Delphi configuration and routing files, names are assigned for job types, queues, and
process steps. In the example from the previous section the single job type was ‘Customers’,
the queue name was ‘Lucy’, and the process step was ‘Consult’. This section describes the
way Delphi handles these names.

First, names are separated from the other information in the input files by spaces, so
names cannot contain spaces, tabs, or new-lines. Second, names may be any combination of
non-space printable characters up to ten digits long. Only the first ten digits will be used
on reports, and in any internal comparisons.

Third, names are not case sensitive. Thus, ‘Lucy’ and ‘lucy’ both specify the same queue.

Finally, you cannot have two job types, queues, or process steps with the same name. You
could have a queue and a process step with the same name, and Delphi would not be
(1) Server free?
(2) Free before finished?
(3) Jobs waiting?
(4) Jobs waiting?
(5) Free when finished?
(6) Job scrapped?
(7) Job completed?
(8) More steps for job?

A. Time until down.
B. Time between releases.
C. Time until up.
D. Time until down.
E. Time until free.
F. Service time.

Figure 5: Delphi event graph.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Server Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number of Required Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Single-Component</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Conveyor</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Multi-sequence</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Whole Job</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Server types and required parameters.

hindered, but it might be confusing to humans, so it is probably best to make names unique across all job types, queues, and process steps.

9 SERVER TYPES AND SERVICE TIME PARAMETERS

Five different types of servers are modeled within Delphi. The server type for a queue is identified in the configuration file `config.del`. In the routing file `config.rj`, the correct number of service time parameters must be specified on the processing line. The server types and number of parameters needed are listed in Table 1.

Batch servers require three parameters: load time, batch processing time, and unload time. After appropriate batching has occurred, the service time for a batch is given by the sum of the three times.

Single-component servers require three parameters: load time, processing time per component, and unload time. The service time for a job is the load time plus processing time per component + number of components plus unload time. If the processing time per component is a random variable, Delphi will try to compute the distribution of the sum and generate from that distribution. If that is not possible, it will generate a processing time for each component and add these together.

Conveyor servers require four parameters: load time, the time for the first component to complete service, the inter-departure time for subsequent components, and unload time. The service time for a job is the load time plus the first component time, plus (number of components-1) times the inter-departure time, plus the unload time.

Multi-sequence servers require four parameters: load time, total time, longest time, and unload time. The service time for a job is as for a batch tool, with the processing time being the total time. However, the server becomes free before the completion of the service time. Delphi models this type of tool by generating a random variable from the total time.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c(value)</td>
<td>Constant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e(mean)</td>
<td>Exponential.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u(a,b)</td>
<td>Uniform between a and b.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tp(mean,pct)</td>
<td>Triangular, mean +/- pct%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up(mean,pct)</td>
<td>Uniform, mean +/- pct%.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Distributions supported in Delphi.

distribution, then multiplying this realization by the ratio of the mean of the longest time to the mean of the total time. The tool is freed after the load time plus this calculated time has passed.

*Per-job* servers require three parameters: load time, processing time for the job, and unload time. The service time for a job is the sum of these three times.

10 SPECIFYING DISTRIBUTIONS

Service times and interarrival times in Delphi can be specified as random variables. In general, a distribution is specified in the input file in the form `dist(parms)`. The distributions currently supported appear in Table 2.

11 ADDING DOWN-TIME TO A MODEL

Suppose that every 8 hours, Lucy takes a break that lasts for an exponentially distributed amount of time, one hour being the mean time away from her duties. The modifications necessary to our earlier *M/M/1* model are included in the files `cf-mm1.del` and `cf-mm1.r1`. Actually, `cf-mm1.r1` is exactly the same as `mm1.r1`, but for simplicity, Delphi requires that system configuration and routing configuration files use the same prefix.

The file `cf-mm1.del` is marginally more complicated than before, and is displayed in Figure 6.

We can try out our new model for ten days by using the command line option `-endtime`, as follows.

```
 gauss% delphi 1 cf-mm1 -endtime 240
```

Delphi v5.7: Starting simulation 'cf-mm1'.
Successfully read in 1 routing entries, job type 1.
{ This File: cf-mm1.del
   Creator: Frank Chance
Last Modified: 12-19-92
   Description: Input configuration file for DELPHI simulation
               of an M/M/1 queue with clock-time failures.
}
{ Jobtype 1 description line. }
{ <Line ID> <jobtype> <priority> <#components> }
   j     Customer     1      1
{ Release patterns for jobtype 1. }
{ <Line ID> <#jobs to release> <Inter-release distribution> }
   r     1      e(1.0)
{ Queue 1 description line. }
{ <Line ID> <Queue> <# Servers> <Server Type> }
   q  Lucy      1      5
{ Queue 1 down-time line. }
{ <Line ID> <Time-to dist> <Time-offline dist> }
   cf     c(1.0)    e(1.0)

Figure 6: Configuration file for M/M/1 queue with breakdowns.
Number of tool groups/queues = 1  
Number of replications = 1  
Job type 1, priority 1, number of releases 1.  
Normal completion, replication 1 of 1, time = 240.  
delphi v5.7: Simulation 'cf-mm1' exiting normally.

As before, detailed output statistics appear in the file cf-mm1.run. Down-times within Delphi can be modeled in one of three ways. The first two are closely related, and we will denote them by “clock-time” and “busy-time” down-times. For both of these types, a time-to distribution and a time-offline distribution are specified. First, a variate is generated from the time-to distribution. For clock-time down-times, the down-time occurs when this amount of simulated time has passed. For busy-time down-times, the down-time occurs when the server has been busy processing jobs for this amount of time.

When the down-time occurs, a variate is generated from the time-offline distribution, and the server is down for that amount of time. The process is then restarted with the generation of another time-to variate.

Within either clock-time or busy-time down-times, failures, preventive maintenance, and setups can be simulated. To model a clock-time or busy-time down-time, add an extra line after the queuing description line in the input configuration file, specifying the time-to and time-offline distributions. For explicit format instructions, see the output when Delphi is executed without any command line options.

A third way of modeling breakdowns is to model them as a jobtype that crosses only a single machine, and has the highest priority of any job. Under this assumption, breakdowns can queue at a machine, certainly not an intuitive situation. It is possible to model this assumption in Delphi, however, by creating an extra jobtype for each machine with this type of down-time.

12 ADDING SCRAP TO A MODEL

Suppose Lucy decides to branch out of the psychiatric counseling business into football manufacturing. The raw materials for her operation are the leather and the laces, her operation being to simply insert the laces into the pigskin. Boxes of 10 footballs each arrive via the postal service at randomly space intervals (exponentially distributed interarrival times with mean 8 hours). Suppose further that Lucy is a stickler for quality, and enforces rather strict rules about what can and cannot be sent out the door to the local football retailers.
Being a small time operator, her entire operation fits under the tree in the backyard, which is fine if it doesn’t rain that day, but bad news if it rains, because the laces shrink up and don’t fit properly. Furthermore, there is a small chance that when she is lacing the footballs, she inadvertently sticks the ball with her lacing needle, and the football is history.

Let’s say that from Lucy’s previous job experience as a weather forecaster, she knows that one the average, one out of every twenty days is rainy (she obviously does not operate in Ithaca). Also, from a few days worth of experience with lacing, she has gotten pretty good, and she only punctures about every one in ten footballs.

The file \texttt{s-mm1.del} is shown in Figure 7.

\begin{verbatim}
{ This File: s-mm1.del
  Creator: Frank Chance
Last Modified: 12-19-92
  Description: Input configuration file for DELPHI simulation
               of an M/M/1 queue with scrap.
}
{ Jobtype 1 description line. }
{ <Line ID> <jobtype> <priority> <#components> }
  j  Footballs  1   10
{ Release patterns for jobtype 1. }
{ <Line ID> <#jobs to release> <Inter-release distribution> }
  r          1   e(8.0)
{ Queue 1 description line. }
{ <Line ID> <Queue> <# Servers> <Server Type> }
  q  Lacing  1   2
\end{verbatim}

Figure 7: Configuration file for \(M/M/1\) queue with scrap.

The routing file \texttt{s-mm1.r1} is shown in Figure 8.

Note that the specification of scrap in Delphi is more general than the situation we have described here. The three scrap parameters are

\[
100.0 \times P[\text{scrap occurs}],
100.0 \times P[\text{entire job scrapped|scrap occurs}],
100.0 \times P[\text{component scrapped|scrap occurs, entire job not scrapped}].
\]

We can handle our situation described above by setting the first scrap parameter to 100.0.
{ This File: s-mm1.r1
    Creator: Frank Chance
Last Modified: 12-19-92
    Description: Routing configuration file for DELPHI
               simulation of an M/M/1 queue with scrap.
}
{ Routing step 1 description line.}
{ <Line ID> <Step> <Queue> <Load> <Process> <Unload> }
p     Lacing     Lacing   c(0)   e(0.50)   c(0)
{ Scrap description line.}
{ <Line ID> <% Scrap Occurs> <Job %> <Component %> }
s       100.0       5.0       10.0

Figure 8: Routing file for M/M/1 queue with scrap.

We execute the model exactly as before. Output statistics for scrap are included in s-mm1.run.

13 ADDING REWORK TO A MODEL

Lucy’s football manufacturing business expands at a phenomenal rate, and before long, she
is taking orders for private label footballs from the NFL, K-mart, and the White House.
She hires one of the neighbor kids to stencil on the private label after the lacing operation
is complete. She also wants to cut out scrap completely, so after each box of footballs has
completed the lacing step, she has someone standing by with some puncture-fixing glue. Any
football that was punctured in the lacing step gets a squirt of glue, then the entire box is
returned to the lacing step, where laces are put into the previously punctured (but now good
as new) footballs.

Approximately one in ten footballs is punctured (Lucy’s success rate with the lacing
procedure hasn’t increased.) The puncture-fixing operation takes a constant six minutes to
apply per football. To lessen confusion in the workplace, the footballs remain in their boxes
of 10, so when any footballs in a box are reworked, the entire box cannot proceed, until all
are ok.

After a box is successfully laced, it proceeds to the private label attacher. This operation
takes a constant 12 minutes per football (0.2 hours).
Finally, the box is closed up and Lucy’s patented “seal of quality” is put across the top. This operation takes a constant 6 minutes per job.

The file `r-mm1.del` is shown in Figure 9.

```
{ This File: r-mm1.del
    Creator: Frank Chance
Last Modified: 12-19-92
    Description: Input configuration file for DELPHI simulation
                 of a small system with rework and scrap.
}
{ Jobtype 1 description line. }
{ <Line ID> <jobtype> <priority> <#components> }
  j  Footballs  1  10
{ Release patterns for jobtype 1. }
{ <Line ID> <#jobs to release>  <Inter-release distribution> }
  r  1  e(8.0)
{ Queue description lines. }
{ <Line ID> <Queue Name> <# Servers> <Server Type> }
  q  Lacing  1  2
  q  Fixup  1  2
  q  Add-labels  1  2
  q  Seal-box  1  5
```

Figure 9: Configuration file for system with rework and scrap.

The routing file `r-mm1.r1` is shown in Figure 10.
Rework percentages are specified much like scrap:

\[
100.0 \times P[\text{rework occurs}],
100.0 \times P[\text{entire job reworked}|\text{rework occurs}],
100.0 \times P[\text{component reworked}|\text{rework occurs, entire job not reworked}].
\]

Rework statistics are included in the output file `r-mm1.run`. 

17
{ This File: r-mm1.r1
Creator: Frank Chance
Last Modified: 12-19-92
Description: Routing configuration file for DELPHI
   simulation of small system with
   rework and scrap. }
{ <Line ID> <Step> <Queue> <Load> <Process> <Unload> }
p Lacing Lacing c(0) e(0.50) c(0)
{ <Line ID> <% Scrap Occurs> <Job %> <Component %> }
s 100.0 5.0 10.0
{ <Line ID> <Skip-to-step> <% Rework occurs> <Job %> <Comp %> }
r Add-labels 100.0 0.0 10.0
{ <Line ID> <Step> <Queue> <Load> <Process> <Unload> }
p Fixup Fixup c(0) c(0.10) c(0)
{ <Line ID> <Goto-line> <% of time> }
g Lacing 100.0
{ <Line ID> <Step> <Queue> <Load> <Process> <Unload> }
p Add-labels Add-labels c(0) c(0.20) c(0)
p Seal-box Seal-box c(0) c(0.10) c(0)

Figure 10: Routing file for system with rework and scrap.
14 EXAMPLE OUTPUT STATISTICS

A variety of output statistics are contained in the file `config.run`. We will consider an output file for a five thousand job run of the example discussed in the previous section, `r-mm1.run`. This output file is displayed in Figures 11 through 17.

15 PROBABILISTIC ROUTING

In order for Delphi to be able to handle general networks of queues with Markov routing, there must be the capability after each processing step at a queue to jump randomly to any queue within the system. This capability is given by the 'g' step in the routing file.

As an example consider a simple three step operation, where the second step is only performed about one-third of the time. The files `g-mm1.del` and `g-mm1.r1` are included in the Delphi distribution and implement such a system.

The routing file `g-mm1.r1` is shown in Figure 18, along with explanations of each line.

When this system is executed, all jobs are processed at queue 1. After being processed at queue 1, jobs are routed directly to queue 3 with probability 0.33, otherwise they continue on to queue 2 with probability 0.67. All jobs are processed at queue 3.

16 CALCULATING CONFIDENCE INTERVALS

Delphi uses two different methods to calculate confidence intervals for time in system and time at individual queues.

First, to calculate confidence intervals for time at a queue, Delphi uses the queuing relation \( L = \lambda W \), where \( L \) is the expected value of the limiting number at the queue, \( \lambda \) is the arrival rate into the queue, and \( W \) is the expected value of the limiting time in queue. If we let \( Q(t) \) be the number at the queue (waiting plus being served) at time \( t \) and the simulated clock runs from time 0 to time \( T \), we can estimate \( L \) by

\[
\hat{L} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T Q(t) dt.
\]

If we know \( \lambda \), or can estimate it by \( \hat{\lambda} \), we estimate \( W \) by

\[
\hat{W} = \frac{\hat{L}}{\hat{\lambda}},
\]

although the exact effect of dividing by an estimate of \( \lambda \) rather than the true \( \lambda \) is unknown.
Run ended at simulated time: 45295.4
Delphi Version 5, Level 7.
Runlist file: r-mm1.run
Streams in use: 1 to 8.
Bytes of memory used : 23288
# Calls to memory allocation: 147
# Times memory re-allocated : 26
Number of batches : 20
Batch length (in time units) : 2000
Statistics collected after time: 0

--- Initialization Bias Test ---

Schruben et al. (1983) 2-sided test for initialization bias (see Operations Research 31: 1090-1108)

Note: P-values below 0.10 are evidence of statistically significant differences between batch means in the output.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jobtype</th>
<th>Schruben's Statistic</th>
<th>Degrees of Freedom</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Footballs</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11: General information and bias test, 5000 job run of r-mm1.
--- Job Type Statistics ---

Note: Upper and lower bounds are 95% confidence bounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Type</th>
<th>Number of Finished Jobs</th>
<th>Mean Lower Bound</th>
<th>Mean Upper Bound</th>
<th>Variance Lower Bound</th>
<th>Variance Upper Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Footballs</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>16.8, 17.9, 19.1</td>
<td>116.5, 149.9, 183.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--- Throughput Per Time Unit ---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Type Name</th>
<th>Lower Bound</th>
<th>Upper Bound</th>
<th>Lower Mean</th>
<th>Upper Mean</th>
<th>Jobs Lower Bound</th>
<th>Jobs Upper Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Footballs</td>
<td>0.964, 0.990, 1.016</td>
<td>0.108, 0.110, 0.113</td>
<td>0.970, 0.991, 1.018</td>
<td>0.109, 0.111, 0.113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--- Queue Statistics ---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Queue</th>
<th>% Work</th>
<th>% Down</th>
<th>% Free Queue</th>
<th>Max in Queue</th>
<th>% Queue Delay Only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lacing</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>13 (5.42, 6.20, 6.98)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixup</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>2 (0.00, 0.00, 0.00)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add-labels</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>4 (0.31, 0.34, 0.38)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seal-box</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>1 (0.00, 0.00, 0.00)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12: System and queue delay statistics. 5000 job run of r-mm1.
--- Estimated Time in Queue Plus Service by Job ---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Queue</th>
<th>Number of Visits</th>
<th>Estimated - Time in Queue+Service -</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Bound</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacing</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>(14.33, 15.75, 17.17)</td>
<td>87.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixup</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>(0.09, 0.09, 0.10)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add-labels</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>(2.06, 2.14, 2.21)</td>
<td>11.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seal-box</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>(0.10, 0.10, 0.10)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Job Type Total: 18.08

Figure 13: Time in queue plus service for job, 5000 job run of r-mm1.

To arrive at a confidence interval for our estimate of time at queue, we split time into a series of equal length batches. Suppose the number of batches is \( N \), and the length of each batch is \( T_B \). We let

\[
\hat{L}_n = \frac{1}{T_B} \int_{(n-1)T_b}^{nT_B} Q(t)dt
\]

be the batch mean estimate of \( L \) from the \( n \)th batch.

Unless otherwise specified, Delphi splits the output for each job type into a default number of batches, and assumes the batch means are approximately independent, identically distributed normal random variables with unknown mean and variance. Under these assumptions, a \( t \)-confidence interval is placed about the average of the batch means.

Let \( \hat{G} \) be the grand batch mean

\[
\hat{G} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \hat{L}_n.
\]

Let \( s_{\hat{G}}^2 \) be the estimated variance of \( \hat{G} \),

\[
s_{\hat{G}}^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\hat{L}_n - \hat{G})^2.
\]
--- Random Routing Statistics ---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Routing Step</th>
<th>To Route Step</th>
<th>#Random Choices</th>
<th>Out of Total Choices</th>
<th>% Time Chosen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Job Type: Footballs Fixup</td>
<td>Lacing</td>
<td>3809</td>
<td>3809</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--- Scrap Statistics ---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Routing Step</th>
<th>% Time</th>
<th>% Jobs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Job Type: Footballs Lacing</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>4.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--- Rework Statistics ---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Routing Step</th>
<th>% Time</th>
<th>% Jobs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Job Type: Footballs Lacing</td>
<td>92.34</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14: Rework and scrap statistics, 5000 job run of r-mm1.
--- Number in System Statistics ---

All Job Types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># in System</th>
<th># of Hits</th>
<th>Total Time</th>
<th>% Time</th>
<th>% Cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td>10598.5885</td>
<td>23.40</td>
<td>23.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2632</td>
<td>10304.4951</td>
<td>22.75</td>
<td>46.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2302</td>
<td>8012.0203</td>
<td>17.69</td>
<td>63.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1743</td>
<td>5424.4724</td>
<td>11.98</td>
<td>75.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1248</td>
<td>3871.1271</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>84.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>2808.5863</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>90.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>1905.8735</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>94.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>1136.6482</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>97.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>626.0743</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>98.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>360.6566</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>99.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>134.8675</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>99.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>63.0146</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>99.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>37.5747</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>99.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.3547</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------

45295.3539

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Figure 15: Number in system statistics, 5000 job run of r-mm1.
--- End-of-Run Report (1 Replication(s).) ---

Delphi Version 5, Level 7.
Runlist file: r-mm1.run
Streams in use: 1 to 8.
Bytes of memory used            : 23288
# Calls to memory allocation: 147
# Times memory re-allocated : 26
Number of batches              : 20
Batch length (in time units)   : 2000
Statistics collected after time: 0

--- Job Type Information ---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Type</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>W.I.P. Size</th>
<th>Policy?</th>
<th>Release Patterns</th>
<th>Wrap Policy</th>
<th>Routing Entries</th>
<th>Due-Date Time</th>
<th>Offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Footballs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Startover</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--- Queue Information ---

Note: c = Clock-time, b = Busy-time, A = Both.
S
F P e
a . t Servers
i M u for Service
Queue l . p Queue Discipline

Lacing 1 FIFO
Fixup 1 FIFO
Add-labels 1 FIFO
Seal-box 1 FIFO

Figure 16: End-of-run report, 5000 job run of r-mm1.
--- Command Line Options ---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Enabled</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Argument</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-debug</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td></td>
<td>Debug trace.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-debugstart</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>Starting time for debug trace.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-ntrace</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number in system trace.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-xtrace</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td></td>
<td>Time in system trace.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-debugjob</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>Specify job for debug trace.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-endtime</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ending time of simulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-endjob</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>5000.00</td>
<td>End run after number of jobs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-endjobtype</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Jobtype for ending job.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-norunlist</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td></td>
<td>Do not generate run output.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-reps</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Number of replications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-msetrunc</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td></td>
<td>Estimate mean-square-errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-pointbias</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td></td>
<td>Estimate bias for individual jobs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-nbatch</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>Number of batches.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-cilevel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>Confidence interval level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-saveint</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interval between state-saves.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-readstate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>String</td>
<td></td>
<td>Read initial state space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-savestate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td></td>
<td>Save state-space at run end.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-xbtrace</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td></td>
<td>Time in system trace-batch means.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-norelease</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td></td>
<td>Do not release jobs into system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-debugq</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>String</td>
<td></td>
<td>Specify queue for debug trace.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-repfiles</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td></td>
<td>Replic. traces to separate files.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-debugev</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>String</td>
<td></td>
<td>Specify event for debug trace.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-nohead</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td></td>
<td>No column headings in output.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-clearstats</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Clear statistics at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-verify</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td></td>
<td>Print stats for easy verification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-jtnis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td></td>
<td>Print jobtype # in system stats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-pointwait</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flag</td>
<td></td>
<td>Use waiting times for pointbias.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 17: Delphi option settings, 5000 job run of r-mm1.
{ This File: g-mm1.r1
   Creator: Frank Chance
Last Modified: 11-14-92
   Description: Routing configuration file for DELPHI
               simulation of a small network.
}

{ Routing step 1 description line.}
{ <Line ID> <Step> <Queue> <Load> <Process> <Unload> }
   p   Step-1  Queue-1  c(0)  e(0.65)  c(0)
{ Goto description line.}
{ <Line ID> <Goto-line> <% of time> }
   g   Step-3  33.0
{ Routing step 2 description line.}
{ <Line ID> <Step> <Queue> <Load> <Process> <Unload> }
   p   Step-2  Queue-2  c(0)  e(0.65)  c(0)
{ Routing step 3 description line.}
{ <Line ID> <Step> <Queue> <Load> <Process> <Unload> }
   p   Step-3  Queue-3  c(0)  e(0.65)  c(0)

Figure 18: Routing file for three machine network with probabilistic routing.
Then the approximate 95% confidence interval for the expected number at queue is

\[ \hat{G} \pm t_{N-1}(0.05) \frac{s_G}{\sqrt{N}}, \]

where \( t_N(c) \) is the \((1 - c)/2\) quantile of the \( t \)-distribution with \( N \) degrees of freedom.

Proceeding boldly, we use

\[ \frac{\hat{G}}{\lambda} \pm t_{N-1}(0.05) \frac{s_G}{\sqrt{N\lambda}} \]

as our confidence interval for estimated time at queue.

To estimate time in system, Delphi uses transaction observations rather than the relation \( L = \lambda W \). The reason for this choice is that scrapped is possible, and if we were to use \( L = \lambda W \), we would be estimating the time in system for all jobs, including those that are scrapped. Usually, we only wish to estimate time in system for those jobs that exit normally after having complete all their steps.

Time is batched as before. Denote by \( \hat{W}_n \) the average time in system of jobs exiting during the \( n \)th batch. Let \( \hat{G} \) be the grand batch mean

\[ \hat{G} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \hat{W}_n. \]

Let \( s_G^2 \) be the estimated variance of \( \hat{G} \),

\[ s_G^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\hat{W}_n - \hat{G})^2. \]

Then the approximate 95% confidence interval for the expected time in system is

\[ \hat{G} \pm t_{N-1}(0.05) \frac{s_G}{\sqrt{N}}. \]

Confidence intervals for the variance of the time in system distribution are calculated in a similar fashion, using batch estimates of the variance.

If \texttt{-endtime} is specified, the batch size is calculated as

\[ \text{batch size} = \frac{\text{endtime}}{\text{number batches}}. \]
If -endjob is specified, the batch size is calculated as

\[
\text{batch size} = \frac{\text{endjob \times mean-time-between-arrivals[endjobtype]}}{\text{number batches}}.
\]

The default number of batches is small, on the conservative side, so the default batch length is large. It may be possible to increase the number of batches with the -nbatch command line option, and hence lessen the width of the confidence interval, without lowering the probability that the confidence interval does cover the true expected value.

The confidence level, unless specified with the -cilevel option, defaults to 95%. That is, if all the assumptions about the independence and normality of the data were satisfied, the confidence intervals reported would cover the true values approximately 95% of the time. It is very important to note that this coverage level is appropriate only for examining confidence intervals one at a time. If multiple confidence intervals are examined, the probability that all hold simultaneously is at least

\[
1 - (1 - \text{cilevel}) \times \text{number of intervals examined}.
\]

Quantiles of the \( t \)-distribution are obtained from C versions of the routines \textsc{Vstud} and \textsc{Vnorm} given in Bratley, Fox and Schrage (1987).

## 17 TESTING FOR INITIALIZATION BIAS

When using Delphi to estimate steady-state performance parameters of a system (long-run performance, independent of any set of initial conditions), it is necessary to consider the effects of initialization bias. That is, the distribution of the time in system for jobs early on in the simulation run does not match those later in the run. For example, if the model is started with no jobs present, early jobs will see very little congestion, and hence will have lower mean time in system, compared to later jobs.

Plotting the time in system observations, and averaging across replications as described in Welch (1983), is often a good way to identify the effect of initial conditions on the output. Another way is to test the hypothesis that the time in system batch means are all statistically equal. One test of this hypothesis is detailed in Schruben et. al. (1983), and is implemented in Delphi. Briefly, the test involves forming a test statistic that is sensitive to changes in the batch means, and which converges in distribution to a known form.

Let \( \hat{W}_n \) be the average time in system for jobs in the \( n \)th batch, \( N \) the number of batches, \( \hat{s}_C^2 \), the estimated variance of the grand batch mean, \( S_k \) the cumulative sum of batch means,

\[
S_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \hat{W}_n.
\]
and $Y_k$ the mean of the first $k$ batches,

$$Y_k = \frac{S_k}{k}.$$

The test statistic given in Schruben et. al. (1983) is

$$\hat{T} = \frac{\sqrt{45}}{N^{3/2}S_G} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left( 1 - \frac{k}{N} \right) k(Y_N - Y_k).$$

In this form, the calculation of $\hat{T}$ requires keeping all the batch means until the end of the run. However, with some algebraic manipulation, we can use the alternate form

$$\hat{T} = \frac{\sqrt{45}}{N^{3/2}S_G} \left( Y_N \frac{(N+1)(N-1)}{6} - \sum_{k=1}^{N} S_k + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} kS_k \right),$$

which can be calculated as the simulation runs. Since we perform a 2-sided test (to protect against both positive and negative initial bias), Delphi uses the absolute value of this test statistic, $|\hat{T}|$. To compute the $p$-value of the statistic, Delphi calculates the area outside $-|\hat{T}|$ and $|\hat{T}|$ for the $t$ distribution with $N - 1$ degrees of freedom. Since the $t$ distribution is symmetric, this amounts to calculating

$$2(1 - F_{n-1}(|\hat{T}|)),$$

where $F_n(x)$ is the distribution function for the $t$ distribution with $n$ degrees of freedom.

The $p$-value of the statistic is the lowest significance level at which we would reject the hypothesis that the batch means are equal. The lower the $p$-value, the more evidence we have for the existence of statistically significant differences among the batch means.

Since the formation of confidence intervals for the mean time in system depends on identically distributed batch means, the run length should be increased until the $p$-value for the initialization bias test falls below a reasonable level, say 0.10, before other statistics given in the output file can be viewed with any confidence.

18 COMMONLY USED OPTIONS

The descriptions in this section are valid for the version and level of Delphi given in the abstract. Delphi requires at least the following basic information: a beginning random number stream, an input file prefix, and the length of the simulation run. Above we used the following command to simulate our simple $M/M/1$ model.

30
gauss% delphi 1 mm1 -endjob 10

The first required argument (a 1 in this case) specifies the first stream that is used in the simulation. In order to make the simulation technique of common random numbers as applicable as possible, random numbers for different purposes are drawn from as many distinct independent streams as is possible. In the output file mm1.run, Delphi displays exactly how many streams were used in the simulation. In our M/M/1 model, stream one is used for the arrival process, and stream two is used for the service time process. Thus to execute a second, independent run, we should use the command

gauss% delphi 3 mm1 -endjob 10

The second required argument specifies the input file name prefix. Delphi will attempt to read system configuration data from the file mm1.del. For systems with a single job type, the routing information is read from the file mm1.r1. If multiple job types are included in a model, the routing information for job type \( j \) is read from file mm1.rij.

Finally, in every simulation we must specify an ending condition. To specify an ending job number, we use the \texttt{-endjob number} option. Unless \texttt{-endjobtype type} is also specified, the simulation will terminate when \texttt{number} jobs of job type \( j \) have exited the system.

To specify an ending simulation clock time, use the \texttt{-endtime time} option. The simulation will terminate after the first event that occurs on or after \texttt{time}.

Below we list other commonly used options and a brief explanation of their purpose. Let \texttt{config} be the input file prefix (mm1 in our example above).

\texttt{-cilevel level} Specifies the percent level of the confidence intervals given in the output statistics. Default is 95, for 95\% confidence intervals.

\texttt{-debug} Writes an event by event debugging trace to \texttt{config.dbg}.

\texttt{-debugev eventname} Turns on debug trace, but only for event \texttt{eventname}. May be used in conjunction with other debug options.

\texttt{-debugjob job} Turns on debug trace, but only for job \texttt{job}. May be used in conjunction with other debug options.

\texttt{-debugq queue name} Turns on debug trace, but only for queue \texttt{queue name}. May be used in conjunction with other debug options.
-debugstart time  Turns on debug trace, but only after simulation clock reaches time. May be used in conjunction with other debug options.

-endjob job  Simulation ends when job jobs of job type 1 have exited system. To change the job type counted, use -endjobtype.

-endjobtype jobtype  When used in conjunction with -endjob, specifies the job type to be counted for simulation ending condition.

-endtime time  Simulation ends after the first event executed on or after time.

-jtnis  Generate and report on number in system for each jobtype separately, as well as for all jobtypes in aggregate.

-nbatch batches  Specifies the number of batches to use when calculating batch means and confidence intervals for time in system. Unless specified, Delphi uses an internal default. The number of batches used is displayed in config.run.

-nistrace  Writes number in system trace for job type j to file config.pj.

-nohead  Suppresses the heading normally printed in the debug trace output.

-norelease  Suppresses regular job release mechanism. Jobs must be loaded into the system using the -readstate option.

-norunlist  Simulation will not generate config.run. Used in conjunction with -reps when there are many replications, and output statistics are not needed for each individual replication.

-readstate file  At the beginning of the first replication, Delphi reads in the contents of file, which should be a listing of jobs in the format generated by the -savestate option. When each replication is initialized, these jobs are released at the job step specified in file.

-repfiles  Writes output files for different replications to separate files. Runlist output for replication k is written to configrk.run, debug output to configrk.dbg, and trace output for jobtype j to configrk.pj.

-reps replications  Simulation is replicated replications times. Output files contain data from all replications. Use -norunlist to stop generation of config.run after each replication.
-saveint  Specifies the interval between saves of state space information (location of all jobs).

-savestate If -saveint is not specified, at the end of each replication the location of all jobs
is written to config.s1. If -saveint is specified, at the specified intervals the location
of all jobs is written to config.sk, where k is incremented after each save operation.

-truncate time Specifies the first time when statistics are collected. If -endjob job is
specified, then the simulation will end when job jobs of the appropriate type have
exited after time. Simulation stopping time is unaffected by -trunctime if -endtime
is specified.

-xbtrace Writes time in system batch means trace for job type j to file config.pj.

-xtrace Writes job information trace for job type j to file config.pj.

19 RANDOM NUMBERS

Random numbers in Delphi are generated using the C version of a generator given in Chapter 7 of Law and Kelton (1991). Over 20,000 non-overlapping random number streams are provided, to enhance the applicability of common random numbers as a variance reduction technique (see Chapter 11 of Law and Kelton). Wherever possible within Delphi, separate streams are used. For example, each queue has separate streams for generation of service, failure, repair, and maintenance times. The number of streams used during a particular run
is listed in the output file.

20 ESTIMATED NUMBER OF VISITS

In the output file config.run, Delphi displays for each job type the estimated number of
times the job visits each queue before exiting the system. These estimates are only for those
jobs that successfully exit the system, not for those that are scrapped along the way.

This section of output statistics is included so that a more complete picture of queuing
bottlenecks can be achieved. For instance, suppose we estimate the delay at queue one to be
ten hours, while at queue two to be thirty hours. However, if each job visits the first queue
an average of ten times, while visiting the second tool only once, the total delay contributed
by queue one is a much larger percentage of the overall time in system than that contributed
by queue two. It might be more appropriate, then, to concentrate resources on lowering the
delay at queue one.
21 PROGRAM VERIFICATION

The correctness of the program logic within Delphi can be verified in two ways. First, for sufficiently small and uncomplicated models, the limiting expected delay in system can be calculated analytically, and compared with simulation results for long runs. Second, a debugging trace can be generated, and compared with predicted behavior. We detail in this section a list of tests that can be easily performed to check several aspects of Delphi’s logic.

21.1 M/M/s QUEUE

The M/M/s queue has exponentially distributed interarrival and service times, and $s$ servers. Let $\lambda$ be the arrival rate, $\mu$ the service rate, and $\rho = \lambda/(s\mu)$ the traffic intensity. For either first-come-first-served (also known as first-in-first-out, abbreviated FIFO), or last-come-first-served (LIFO) disciplines, the mean of the limiting time in system distribution is given by

$$u_s = \frac{\frac{u_s}{s\mu(1-\rho)^2}},$$

where

$$u_s = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^s \frac{1}{s!} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \left( \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^i \frac{1}{i!} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right)^s \frac{1}{s!(1-\rho)} \right) \right]^{-1}.$$

When the service discipline is first-come-first-served, the variance of the limiting time in system distribution is given by

$$\frac{u_s}{(s\mu)^2(1-\rho)^4(2 - 2\rho - u_s)}.$$

When the service discipline is last-come-first-served, the variance is given by

$$\frac{u_s}{(s\mu)^2(1-\rho)^4(2 - u_s)}.$$

In each of these equations, $u_s$ is as given above.

These quantities are listed in Table 3 for several arrival and service rates, with varying number of servers and service discipline. The true mean and variance should be compared to the estimated mean and variance listed in the Delphi output file for runs of several hundred thousand customers.

Input files for the configurations listed in Table 3 are included in the Delphi distribution as mmsA.del and mmsA.r1 (FIFO version), mmsAL.del and mmsAL.r1 (LIFO version), mmsB.del and mmsB.r1, etc.
21.2 M/G/1 QUEUE

The M/G/1 queue has exponentially distributed interarrival times, general service times, and a single server. The service discipline is first-come-first-served. Let $\lambda$ be the arrival rate, $\mu$ the service rate, and $\rho = \lambda/\mu$ the traffic intensity. From Equation (6.25) of Prabhu (1981), the mean of the limiting time in system distribution is given by

$$\frac{\lambda E[S_0^2]}{2(1-\rho)} + \frac{1}{\mu},$$

or, if we substitute in the variance plus the squared mean for the second moment of the service time distribution,

$$\frac{\lambda \left( \text{Var}[S_0] + \frac{1}{\mu^2} \right)}{2(1-\rho)} + \frac{1}{\mu}.$$

This limiting value is calculated in Table 4 for several service time distributions and values of $\lambda$ and $\mu$.

Input files for the configurations listed in Table 4 are included in the Delphi distribution as mg1A.del and mg1A.r1, mg1B.del and mg1B.r1, etc.

21.3 M/M/1 IN SERIES

Consider an assembly line of three machines, with each job having to visit the machines along the line exactly once, in sequence. If the interarrival time to the first machine or queue is exponentially distributed, and service times at all machines are exponentially distributed, then according to Section III.4 of Asmussen (1987), the limiting input process to all queues
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Time Distribution</th>
<th>Mean Arrival Rate $\lambda$</th>
<th>Mean Service Rate $\mu$</th>
<th>Traffic Intensity $\rho = \lambda / \mu$</th>
<th>Limiting Time in System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>u(2,4)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u(5,10)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1/7.5</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>9.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c(3)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c(7.5)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1/7.5</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>9.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up(3,33.3)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tp(5,50)</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>6.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Verification table for M/G/1 Queue

is Poisson (interarrival times are exponentially distributed), and we may calculate limiting expected time in queue separately for each queue.

Suppose the arrival rate to the system is $\lambda = 1.0$, and the service rates at the three queues are $\mu_1 = 2.5$, $\mu_2 = 2.0$, and $\mu_3 = 2.5$. For simplicity, suppose each queue has exactly one server.

Thus, the total limiting expected time in system should be

\[
\frac{1}{\mu_1 - \lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu_2 - \lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu_3 - \lambda} = 0.67 + 1.0 + 0.67 = 2.33
\]

The input files for this scenario are included with the Delphi distribution as `series.del` and `series.r1`.

### 21.4 M/M/1 IN SERIES WITH SCRAP

We test whole-job scrapping here only, so that we may compare with analytic results for M/M/1 queues in series.

Suppose we have three machines in series, with some scrap occurring after steps one and two. If the interarrival times to the first machine are exponentially distributed, and all service times are exponentially distributed, then we can analyze the limiting expected time in queue separately for each queue, as before. The only modification is that the arrival rate to each queue changes, as some jobs are removed due to scrapping.

Let the arrival rate to machine one be $\lambda_1 = 1.0$. If approximately one out of every ten jobs is scrapped during processing at machine one, then the arrival rate to machine two is
\( \lambda_2 = \lambda_1 + 0.9 = 0.9. \) If approximately one out of every five jobs is scrapped during processing at machine two, then the arrival rate to machine three is \( \lambda_3 = \lambda_2 \times 0.8 = 0.72. \)

Suppose as before that the three processing rates are \( \mu_1 = 2.5, \mu_2 = 2.0, \) and \( \mu_3 = 2.5. \)

Then, the total limiting expected time in system should be

\[
\frac{1}{\mu_1 - \lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_2 - \lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_3 - \lambda_3} = 0.67 + 0.91 + 0.56 = 2.14
\]

The input files for this scenario are included with the Delphi distribution as scrap.del and scrap.r1.

### 21.5 M/M/1 IN SERIES WITH REWORK

We test whole-job rework here only, so that we may compare with analytic results for M/M/1 queues in series.

Suppose we have two machines in series, with some possibility of rework at step one. By rework, we mean that there is a certain probability that for every job leaving step one, it may have to visit a third machine for processing, then pass through step one again.

Suppose all three machines have processing rate \( \mu = 2.0. \) Let the arrival rate into the system be \( \lambda = 1.0. \) However, that is not the effective arrival rate that machine one sees, due to the possibility of rework. Let the probability of rework be \( p = 0.20, \) that is, approximately one out of every five jobs will be reworked.

Jobs that are reworked visit a rework machine, then return to machine one. Jobs that are not reworked visit machine three, then exit the system.

To calculate the analytic limiting expected time in system, we need first to calculate the effective arrival rate to all three machines, given that rework can occur.

First, the arrival rate to machine one is incoming jobs at a rate of \( \lambda, \) plus reworked jobs at a rate of \( p\lambda, \) plus those jobs that are reworked twice at a rate of \( p^2\lambda, \) etc. Thus,

\[
\lambda_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n = \frac{1}{1 - p} = 1.25.
\]

The arrival rate to the rework machine is the probability of rework times the effective arrival rate into machine one,

\[
\lambda_r = p\lambda_1
\]

37
\[
\lambda_2 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_r \\
= 1.0.
\]

Finally, the expected time in system is the expected number of visits to each queue times the expected time at queue, added together,

\[
\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda_1} + \frac{\lambda_r}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda_r} + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda_2} = 1.25(1.333) + 0.25 \times (0.571) + 1.0 \times (1.0) \\
= 2.81.
\]

The input files for this scenario are included with the Delphi distribution as `rework.del` and `rework.r1`.

### 21.6 M/M/1 WITH TWO PRIORITY CLASSES

Consider a single server with two classes of jobs, one receiving high priority, the other receiving low priority. The discipline is head-of-the-line, in that high priority jobs that arrive during the service of a low priority job do not interrupt service. Rather, the high priority job moves ahead of any low priority jobs waiting for service. Within a priority class, service is first-come-first-served.

Let \( \lambda_H, \lambda_L \) denote the arrival rates for the two classes. Let \( \mu_H, \mu_L \) denote the service rates for the two classes. Denote the traffic intensities by \( \rho_H = \frac{\lambda_H}{\mu_H}, \rho_L = \frac{\lambda_L}{\mu_L} \), and assume \( \rho_H + \rho_L < 1 \).

We obtain the limiting expected queuing delay from Table 4.4 of Prabhu (1981), and after adding the expected service time, we find the expected time in system for high priority jobs to be

\[
\frac{\nu}{(1 - \rho_H)} + \frac{1}{\mu_H},
\]

and for the low priority jobs to be

\[
\frac{\nu}{(1 - \rho_H)(1 - \rho_H - \rho_L)} + \frac{1}{\mu_L}.
\]
where

\[ \nu = \frac{\lambda_L}{\mu_L^2} + \frac{\lambda_H}{\mu_H^2}. \]

Suppose \( \lambda_H = 0.5, \lambda_L = 0.25, \mu_H = 2.0, \mu_L = 0.5 \). Then \( \nu = 1.125 \), and the expected time in system for high priority jobs is

\[ \frac{1.125}{0.75} + \frac{1}{2.0} = 2.0. \]

For low priority jobs, the expected time in system is

\[ \frac{1.125}{(0.75)(0.25)} + \frac{1}{0.5} = 8.0. \]

The input files for this scenario are included with the Delphi distribution as priority.del, priority.r1, and priority.r2.

### 21.7 M/G/1 WITH LOADING AND UNLOADING

Consider a single server and single job class, but each service time consists of three parts: loading the job, servicing the job, and unloading the job. The service discipline is first-in-first-out.

We can use the formula given before for the M/G/1 queue to calculate the limiting expected time in system, where the service time has three parts,

\[ \frac{\lambda E[S_0^2]}{2(1 - \rho)} + \frac{1}{\mu}. \]

Suppose \( \lambda = 1.0 \), and the three parts of the service time are distributed exponentially with means \( 1/\mu_1 = 0.1, 1/\mu_2 = 0.4, \) and \( 1/\mu_3 = 0.2 \). Then

\[ \frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{1}{\mu_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{1}{\mu_3} = 0.70. \]

Now the second moment of the service time,

\[ E[S_0^2] = \text{Var}[S_0] + (E[S_0])^2 \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\mu_1^2} + \frac{1}{\mu_2^2} + \frac{1}{\mu_3^2} + \frac{1}{\mu^2} \]

\[ = 0.70. \]
since the service time is the sum of three independent exponential random variables. The traffic intensity $\rho = 0.70$. Hence the limiting expected time in system should be

$$\frac{1.0 \times 0.70}{2 \times (1 - 0.70)} + 0.70 \approx 1.87.$$

The input files for this scenario are included with the Delphi distribution as load.del and load.r1.

22 SUMMARY

Delphi is a queuing network simulator written in portable C code. It was developed to provide a platform for testing various scheduling and simulation algorithms on large, realistic queuing network models. Delphi is available via anonymous ftp from 'gauss.orie.cornell.edu'. There is no charge for Delphi if it is used for research at any degree granting institution. Before using Delphi in any commercial enterprise, a license must be obtained from the author.
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